THE HONORABLE THOMAS S. ZILLY 1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 8 9 BUNGIE, INC., No. 2:21-cv-811 Plaintiff, PLAINTIFF BUNGIE, INC.'S 10 UNOPPOSED MOTION TO SEAL 11 v. NOTE ON MOTION CALENDAR: 12 AIMJUNKIES.COM; PHOENIX DIGITAL November 13, 2023 GROUP, LLC; DAVID SCHAEFER; JORDAN GREEN; JEFFREY CONWAY AND JAMES 13 MAY, 14 Defendants. 15 At the request of Defendants AimJunkies.com, Phoenix Digital Group, LLC ("Phoenix 16 Digital"), David Schaefer, Jordan Green, Jeffrey Conway, and James May (collectively, 17 "Defendants"), Plaintiff Bungie, Inc. ("Bungie"), pursuant to LCR 5(g) and the Stipulated 18 Protective Order entered by the Court in this matter (Dkt. No. 60), hereby moves to file under seal 19 Exhibits A, B, C, D, and N to the Declaration of Christian Marcelo in support of Bungie's 20 Response to Defendants' Motions in *Limine* and the accompanying references to these exhibits 21 and information contained in Bungie's Response to Defendants' Motions in *Limine*. 22 A party may file a document under seal without prior court approval "[i]f the party files a 23 motion or stipulated motion to seal the document . . . at the same time the party files the sealed 24

document." LCR 5(g)(2)(B). The contemporaneous motion must include a certification that the

parties met and conferred about the need to file the document under seal, the ability to minimize

PLAINTIFF'S MOT. TO FILE UNDER SEAL (No. 2:21-cv-811) – 1

25

26

the material filed under seal, and the possibility of exploring alternatives to filing under seal. LCR 5(g)(3)(A). Where the parties have entered a stipulated protective order, a party wishing to file confidential documents it obtained from another party in discovery may file a motion to seal but need not provide a specific statement of the applicable legal standard and the reasons for keeping a document under seal. LCR 5(g)(3)(B).

Here, the exhibits that Bungie intends to file under seal consists of excerpts of deposition transcripts from Defendants that Bungie has designated for use at trial that were taken in the parallel JAMS arbitration proceeding between the same parties and which were designated in their entirety as Confidential by Defendants, as well as the video deposition files associated with these excerpts. Bungie has an obligation to maintain the confidentiality of this information under the stipulated protective order in this case and the virtually identical order in the arbitration proceeding.

On November 12, 2023, Bungie's counsel notified counsel for Defendants via email of its intent to file Exhibits A, B, C, D, and N to the Declaration of Christian Marcelo in support of Bungie's Response to Defendants' Motions in *Limine* and the accompanying references to these exhibits and information contained in Bungie's Response to Defendants' Motions in *Limine*, and asked Defendants to confirm whether they intended to maintain their confidentiality designations over those portions of the transcripts. Defendants' counsel stated that the excerpts are still confidential and did not provide a basis for the confidential designations.

A proposed order accompanies this motion.

I certify that this memorandum contains 419 words, in compliance with the Local Civil Rules.

PLAINTIFF'S MOT. TO FILE UNDER SEAL (No. 2:21-cv-811) –2

1	Dated: November 13, 2023	By: /s/William C. Rava
2		William C. Rava, Bar No. 29948 Christian W. Marcelo, Bar No. 51193
3		Jacob P. Dini, Bar No. 54115 Perkins Coie LLP
4		1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900 Seattle, Washington 98101-3099
5		Telephone: +1.206.359.8000 Facsimile: +1.206.359.9000
6		WRava@perkinscoie.com CMarcelo@perkinscoie.com JDini@perkinscoie.com
7		
8		Attorneys for Plaintiff Bungie, Inc.
9		
10		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

PLAINTIFF'S MOT. TO FILE UNDER SEAL (No. 2:21-cv-811) –3